

# Contents

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	vi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	ix
<i>Note on Sources</i>	x
Introduction	1
1 The Asylum Archive: the Production of Knowledge at the Colonial Asylum	14
2 'The Lunatic Asylums of India are Filled with Ganja Smokers': Asylum Knowledge as Colonial Knowledge	43
3 Disciplining Populations: British Admissions to 'Native-Only' Lunatic Asylums	66
4 Disciplining Individuals: Treatment Regimes Inside 'Native-Only' Lunatic Asylums	103
5 Indians into Asylums: Local Communities and the Medical Institution	129
6 Indians inside Asylums: Staff, Patients and Power	145
Conclusion: Knowledge, Power and Agency	177
<i>Appendix: Asylums Operating in the Period 1857–1880</i>	186
<i>Notes</i>	188
<i>Bibliography</i>	214
<i>Index</i>	224

# Introduction

The very obnoxious practice of masturbation [sic] which is the cause of insanity in many cases, and which aggravates the disease, is very common amongst the inmates of the asylum here. I have perplexed myself about the vice and in former years endeavoured to prevent it by blistering the penis with crotonal etc., but without effect, and various medicines were given in vain with the view of moderating or repressing the desire.

During the past year I have tried Dr Yellowless's mode of prevention very recently practiced in asylums at home, and so far as it has gone, I am very much satisfied with the result.

The suggestion was founded on the anatomical fact that the prepuce was anatomically necessary for the erection of the penis. Its anatomical use was to give a cover for the increased size of the organ. If you prevented the prepuce going to that use, you would make erections so painful that it would be practically impossible, and emissions therefore unlikely.

The operation is very simple: the prepuce at the very root of the glans is pierced with an ordinary silver needle, the ends of which are tied together.<sup>1</sup>

The report of the superintendent in charge at the asylum in Rangoon to the Government of India on the administration of that institution during 1877 included the above confession. The superintendent had been using extreme violence in his treatment of those in his charge in order to impose his own notions of correct sexual behaviour. This did not simply involve him seeking to stop the inmates' masturbation. He was trying to stop them from wanting to masturbate; in his words his techniques were devised 'with the view of moderating or repressing the desire'.

Yet of course the above account is not a confession and it brought no censure from his superiors. In fact his inclusion of the account in a routine report on his duties at the asylum shows that he considered his actions to be very much part of those duties and that by including the above details in a summary of his proceedings

he would be proving to those reading the report that he was taking his role at the asylum seriously and tackling it diligently. In other words the violence visited upon the Indians at the asylum was state sanctioned. In the asylums of British India in the 1870s the colonial government seems to have established sites where its officers could intervene in the lives of those that the state had chosen to incarcerate in an attempt to reorder their psyches by altering not just what they did but what they wanted to do.

The above account also suggests however that the power of the Government of India and its institutions was often resisted. The superintendent after all admits that he resorted to needles and ties after the failure of other techniques that he experimented with to have an impact on the behaviour of those that he was seeking to change. But it is not at all clear how to interpret Indian masturbation as resistance in a British-run lunatic asylum, especially in an asylum where the chief medical officer was seeking to prohibit such behaviour. It could be possible to see this continued defiance of the doctor's painful attempts to impose his moral order as heroic, the resort to 'weapons of the weak'<sup>2</sup> on the part of the subjected. These inmates may have lost their freedom as they were incarcerated in a colonial institution but they were determined to resist the imposition of an alien order on their bodies.

Their status as 'insane' however raises other possibilities. Throughout this study there is a constant questioning of the nature of this label both in the development of nineteenth century psychiatry and medicine and in the shifting contexts of British India. At no point will this study accept the diagnosis of any of the inmates of the asylums of British India as 'insane'. This would simply be complying with the power relations of the period and colluding with the colonial approach of condemning or ignoring the statements and actions of those incarcerated in the asylums as unworthy of serious consideration. As shall be demonstrated these statements and actions are full of significance.

Constant masturbation is rarely acceptable in any society however and the motivations of the men in the account above, while not to be dismissed as simply 'mad', may have been so personal to the individuals involved that they were not communicable or comprehensible even to themselves. It may well have been highly individual and complex combinations of drives, demons and desires that compelled these men to masturbate rather than a determination to defy the medical officer's brutal agenda. As such

their actions can be seen as resistance in the broadest sense, in as much as they served to frustrate the doctor's programme of controlling his inmates' sexuality. But the dogged masturbation might not have been a 'weapon of the weak' and it may well be that unfathomable pressures drove the men rather than a conscious determination to resist the doctor's designs. What inspired these men to carry on masturbating through the pain and to persist in 'perplexing' the medical officer may well have been drives utterly unconnected with the medical officer and his institution.

Ultimately however it is impossible to know what drove these men to masturbation because of the silences and the discourses of the records. If two of the issues to be developed in this study then are those already mentioned, the intentions of the British in establishing the lunatic asylums in India and the ways in which locals interacted with these institutions, then the third issue to be considered is the nature of the records left behind by these institutions. The account above is constructed in such a way as to represent masturbation as a 'vice' and the mutilation of genitals as rational. This does not necessarily reflect a natural moral order and would seem to reveal more about the obsessions of the British medical officer than it does about the 'insanity' of the inmates. The knowledge generated at the asylums had its own power then, the power to construct standards of normality and deviancy and of morality and immorality. This knowledge, contained in the array of case notes and official reports from British India available in the archives of England, Scotland and India will therefore also be considered in this study. The ideas constructed in these documents go some way to explaining not just how British officers thought about sanity and insanity in India, but how they thought about themselves in India and indeed how they thought about India itself.

## **Colonial history and the history of psychiatry**

By looking at the asylums of British India from 1857 to about 1900 this study engages with both the historiographies of colonial history and of the history of psychiatry. In considering these three issues, of the knowledge generated at the asylums, of the Government of India's intentions in establishing the asylum system and of the reasons for and effects of Indian interactions with that system, this study intends to extend debates which have developed within both fields.

For example, the interest in the nature of the knowledge available at the asylums stems from the concerns with the issue of the productive property of language which can be found within both the historiographies of colonial and of medical history. These concerns have a common root in the general misgivings about the nature of language which doubt the modern conviction that language is simply 'a perpetual and objectively based correlation of the visible and the expressible.'<sup>3</sup> Critics of this naive understanding point out instead that language has a creative and productive power, 'language in texts always . . . functions ideationally in the representation of experience and the world.'<sup>4</sup>

Subaltern Studies writers like Ranajit Guha and Shahid Amin began in the 1980s to explore the productive nature of language in the records of colonial government. Ranajit Guha cited the broad range of primary sources that contain information on peasant rebellions from 'the exordial letter, telegram, despatch and communiqué to the terminal summary, report, judgement and proclamation'<sup>5</sup> as well as those secondary sources such as the reminiscences or retrospective accounts of administrators. While these accounts often conflict in their avowed purpose or in the information they contain on specific events, Guha pointed out that they were all written in what he variously calls the 'prose' or 'code of counter-insurgency'. In this code, an Islamic puritan becomes a *fanatic*, and revolt against the landlord becomes *defying the authority of the state*. While the record of events may often appear confused in these documents the intention in their being written in this way is not. The various sources are all acting to produce representations of actors and actions which justify the intervention of the colonizers. The accounts do this by portraying those defying the colonial state as politically illegitimate. As Guha concludes, 'these documents make no sense except in terms of a code of pacification which, under the Raj, was a complex of coercive intervention by the State and its protégés, the native elite, with arms and words.'<sup>6</sup>

Shahid Amin examined legal documents in colonial India and pointed out the paradox that 'historians of colonial India have hitherto, by and large, coupled their political opposition to pronouncements made by English judges on the "native" accused with an uncritical reading of judgements.'<sup>7</sup> The judgement passed on civil disturbances at Chauri Chaura appears to satisfactorily explain the criminal acts of the crowd. It concluded that their picketing of liquor and meat shops is to be blamed on the prices charged by

the shopkeepers. However, this record slyly turns a Hindu/Muslim declaration of unity (based on the issues of temperance and vegetarianism) charged through with religious and political implications, into a simple act of wanton criminality by a typical mob. The economic explanation entered in the records is formed within a colonial political discourse, where the demonstration of dissent is emptied of political significance by the act of recording the causes as economic. As Amin concludes: 'An economic reading of the evidence did not yield a politics of the accused, but it has of the judgement itself.'<sup>8</sup> Colonial writing in these accounts is not transparently recording events. Rather it is producing new objects, images and ideas, which reflect and reproduce colonial ways of seeing and thinking about India.

Historians who consider medical documents rather than those who examine colonial records similarly explore this productive power of language and claim that medical documents, rather than offering objective scientific data, instead create 'a set of social messages wrapped up in technical language.'<sup>9</sup> Those who have studied psychiatric records in particular show how such case notes serve to produce their own stories which verify male fantasies of domination<sup>10</sup> or doctors' fantasies of efficacy.<sup>11</sup>

Chapters 1 and 2 will take up this issue of the productive nature of knowledge which has been discussed by historians of both colonialism and medicine. Chapter 1 will look at the assessments recorded of the patients' states of mind and the impressions given of the impact of the doctors' interventions in the case notes available for the asylums of British India. The chapter will consider whether these are accurate and objective clinical observations or constructed representations which are the result of the imaginings and attitudes of the British staff at the asylums. If the latter is the case then the nature of these imaginings and attitudes and what they tell the historian about the mindsets of the colonizers will be considered.

While Chapter 1 looks at what the case notes tell the historian about the way that knowledge was generated at the asylums, Chapter 2 considers the significance of that knowledge once those outside of the asylum began to take an interest in it. This chapter traces the way in which the information gathered in the asylums in the 1870s itself acted to generate further ideas, in this case the concept of the cannabis smoker as a threat to social order in India. This idea ended up being discussed in the House of Commons in the 1890s and indeed led to the establishment of the parliamentary

Indian Hemp Drugs Commission of 1893/4. This chapter concludes that in reality cannabis smoking was a widespread leisure and medicinal practice in nineteenth century India and those that used it constituted no great menace to British law and order. However the lack of knowledge about the subject population led the British administration in India to attach significance to any information that it was supplied with, even if this was information from as questionable a source as a lunatic asylum. As such the administrative expedient of blaming insanity on cannabis in the asylums of the 1870s was able eventually to lead to a parliamentary commission into the issue of cannabis use and the intervention of the British colonial state to prohibit cannabis use in parts of Indian society.

The British created knowledges about Indians in the asylums which reflected the position of judgement that they had assumed over inmates as doctors caring for the ill and as colonizers surveilling the colonized. Quite simply, power produced knowledge. Yet power manifested itself in other ways at the asylum, and both historians of medicine and those who have examined encounters in colonial contexts have considered the ways in which medical institutions and policies impacted on lives and on societies. Chapters 3 and 4 will consider these debates and look at the disciplinary functions of the asylums. This concern reflects an awareness of both the disciplinary functions of the psycho-sciences during the nineteenth century in the Western experience and the attempts at reordering and regulating populations which have been identified as central to the concerns of governments established by the West in its modern colonies.

The disciplinary functions of the psycho-sciences were first highlighted by Michel Foucault in his classic work on insanity in Western culture, *Madness and Civilization*.<sup>12</sup> This account has it that the origins of the asylum were as an institution where errant individuals identified as such by bourgeois morality<sup>13</sup> could be confined as punishment and be reformed. The walls of confinement enclosed 'fortresses of moral order . . . in which were taught religion and whatever was necessary to the peace of the state.'<sup>14</sup>

According to Foucault, by 1800 the doctor had taken on the responsibility for the reformatory procedures within the 'walls of confinement', so that 'what we call psychiatric practice is a certain moral tactic contemporary with the end of the eighteenth century, preserved in the rites of asylum life and overlaid by the myths of positivism.'<sup>15</sup> The asylum is a disciplinary site and the psychiatric practices were disciplinary techniques, where surveillance, judge-

ment, patriarchy and physical coercion were combined and focused on the individual to 'impose in a universal form, a morality that will prevail from within upon those who are strangers to it.'<sup>16</sup>

Subsequent studies of the psycho-disciplines have confirmed their disciplinary functions. Andrew Scull identifies two stages in the development of the psycho-sciences in Britain and America which reflect alternative approaches to the disciplinary task:

There is an abandonment of external coercion (which could never do more than force the crudest and least stable forms of outward conformity) for an approach that promises to produce the internalization of the necessary moral standards, by inducing the mad to collaborate in their own recapture by the forces of reason.<sup>17</sup>

In the same vein feminist writers emphasize the function of the psycho-sciences in disciplining the female sex, Elaine Showalter identifying 'the Darwinian nerve-specialist', who 'arose to dictate proper feminine behaviour outside the asylum as well as in it, to differentiate treatments for 'nervous' women of various class backgrounds, and to oppose women's efforts to change the conditions of their lives.'<sup>18</sup> Yannick Ripa who writes on the French experience concludes that 'this new "alienist" medicine flirted with religion, morality and the police; in a sense it became the keeper of the public order.'<sup>19</sup> She stresses that

the asylum sought to force women back into the mould from which they had just tried to escape. Sick from lack of attention and understanding, women were supposed to be 'cured' without being either heard or understood. Behind the paternalistic philanthropy of the asylum there lurked violent forms of therapy whose aim was to silence women . . . Alienist science as applied to women was at its birth a socially coercive form of medicine.<sup>20</sup>

Indeed, studying psychology in England from 1869 to 1939, Nikolas Rose concludes that what the various strands of theory and practice 'made possible was a scientific technique for the administration of individuals and populations in terms of their mental attributes and capacities.'<sup>21</sup> Quite simply, the psycho-sciences provided the means not only for disciplining individuals but also for disciplining, that is managing and governing, whole societies.

Historians who have looked at methods of government in contexts where Westerners were attempting to impose their authority over non-Western societies have similarly emphasized that medical

techniques could be important in the process of assertion. Franz Fanon, himself a Western-trained psychiatrist, identified medicine as central to projects of imposing control in Africa. He asserted that the doctor was implicated in the disciplinary machinery of the colonial state and that 'the colonized perceives the doctor, the engineer, the school teacher, the rural constable through the haze of an almost organic confusion.'<sup>22</sup> Others have concluded that Fanon was right to see medicine in this way, Roy MacLeod stating that 'the history of medicine in empire refers to the . . . history of medical regimes as participants in the expansion and consolidation of political rule.'<sup>23</sup>

Various case studies provide the evidence for such conclusions. In India and Africa population movement was controlled in the name of medicine,<sup>24</sup> while there are instances where surveillance and detention of the colonized was authorized with reference to medical measures.<sup>25</sup> India also provides interesting examples where behaviour was regulated after the introduction of sanitation projects.<sup>26</sup> Those populations over which Europeans attempted to assert control were watched, controlled and reordered by medicine.

Indeed, the place of the psycho-sciences in particular in the disciplinary projects of colonial medicine has recently been explored in certain case studies. In Australia, Cathy Coleborne concludes that in the nineteenth century, 'the preservation of social order remained paramount in the intentions of the legislators in early Australia where lunacy was concerned.'<sup>27</sup> Sally Swartz identifies the unemployed members of the colonized population as of special concern to the authorities in the Cape Colony and finds the 'loose native', the non-working vagrant member of the urban masses, a regular admission to the Valkenberg asylum.<sup>28</sup>

Surprisingly little attention has been paid to the Asian experience. Lee's article on the asylums of Singapore is simply a descriptive account of their development ending with the conclusion that 'the conditions at Singapore, which was considered a "remote outpost" were not too bad, and her doctors and leading citizens enlightened men.'<sup>29</sup> Waltraud Ernst's *Mad Tales from the Raj* deals only with asylums for the European insane in British India before 1858. It does however offer the interesting point that even when focusing on the Western population in colonial contexts the psycho-sciences acted to discipline, in this case labelling as mad those likely to tarnish the reputation of the British in order to have them removed from the colony.<sup>30</sup>

The place of the psycho-sciences in the disciplinary projects of the British in colonial India will therefore be investigated here in two chapters. Chapter 3 locates the asylum alongside the police and prison systems detailed elsewhere<sup>31</sup> in the matrix of institutions and policies devised by the government in India to control the population and limit its mobility and perceived volatility. Chapter 4 examines how the regime inside the asylum was designed to give the medical officer command of the incarcerated Indian's body and behaviour so that that body and behaviour might be remoulded and produced to be efficient and obedient. In other words these two chapters focus on the place of the psycho-sciences in disciplining India on the macro-level, that is on the level of governing whole populations, and in disciplining India on the micro-level where individual Indian bodies were seized and drilled.

Chapters 5 and 6 however reflect the concern of historians who have worked either with the history of psychiatry or with the history of encounters in colonial contexts which was mentioned when considering the account of the superintendent of the Rangoon asylum earlier. This concern is with the way in which the intentions and projects of the authorities which establish institutions like the asylums are resisted, frustrated and ignored by those in and around those institutions.

This issue is often simplified into a study of 'resistance'. For example, in studies of patients opposing the definitions and practices of the psycho-disciplines this tends to be the emphasis. Roy Porter points to autobiography as one method of resisting the discourses of psychiatry as it allows a space for self-definition.<sup>32</sup> Autobiography is also a theme developed by Jann Matlock in her discussion of the French asylum patient Hersilie Rouy.<sup>33</sup> For Yannick Ripa, resistance to internment took four different forms:

First, there was clearly expressed opposition which came in the form of a letter complaining about the committal; next came rebellion against the authorities; then escapes and attempted escapes; finally, general misbehaviour which expressed their feelings but affected the inmates themselves – for example, mutism and attempted suicide.<sup>34</sup>

Cheryl Krasnick Warsh similarly identifies letter writing, escape and suicide as instances of resistance in the Canadian Homewood Retreat but also points to violent and disruptive behaviour, all too easily disguised by the authorities as symptoms of an illness rather than as coherent expressions of anger, as behaviour indicating

opposition to the situation in the asylum.<sup>35</sup> Indeed madness itself has been interpreted as resistance, where behaviour which refuses to conform to that expected is given the label 'insane' so as to justify disciplinary action and to discourage others from adopting that approach. This is an argument advanced in a number of feminist accounts of madness, Phyllis Chesler for example claims that women in American mental institutions in the nineteenth century did no more than behave in ways which defied male imposed norms of female propriety.<sup>36</sup>

Colonial studies though emphasize the perils of only looking for resistance when considering the responses of the subjected, as this accepts that the subjected can only express themselves in opposition to something, on grounds and in situations defined by others. For example the Subaltern Studies project identifies the politics of the lower classes as an 'autonomous domain' in the power relations of colonial India which 'far from being destroyed or rendered virtually ineffective, as was elite politics of the traditional type by the intrusion of colonialism . . . continued to operate vigorously in spite of the latter.'<sup>37</sup> Nicholas Thomas also develops this theme, exploring the possibility that "natives" often had relatively autonomous representations and agendas, that might have been deaf to the enunciations of colonialism, or not so captive to them that mimicry seemed a necessary capitulation.<sup>38</sup>

The actions and agendas of the patients in the Indian asylums will be considered then in Chapter 6. It needs to be emphasized that it is the interaction of the non-elite members of Indian society with medical institutions which will be the focus. Superintendents frequently made comments similar to the following about asylum admissions: 'The three classes whence the largest number are received are ryots, servants and beggars.'<sup>39</sup> The evidence on the case notes from the Lucknow lunatic asylum confirms this, as the usual entries under occupation are 'beggar', 'labour' [sic], and 'cultivator' and where caste information is given low-status categories like 'chumar'<sup>40</sup> and 'ahir'<sup>41</sup> are common. In other words it seems that the asylum was dealing with subaltern groups in Indian society and it is the interaction of such groups with the colonial institutions which will be considered.

The patient population is not the only group whose actions will be explored at the asylum as Indians interacted with the institutions in a number of roles. The majority of the staff was Indian, working in various capacities from that of sub-assistant surgeon to

orderly to sweeper and gardener. In other words, it was not just the patients in the asylum who were encountering these institutions and who were capable of acting in ways that frustrated or negotiated the intentions of those who established the asylums.

The evidence also suggests that members of the local community were not simply passive participants in the asylum system in India, as patients or internees gathered in by disciplinary practice. Many seem to have actively sought to interact with the asylum by seeking admission for themselves and for members of their friends or family while pursuing the release of others. Their agendas in dealing with the asylum are important, as they point to the possibility that it was not simply the authorities that had the power to define what these institutions should act as and be used for. It is the interaction of the community around the asylums with those institutions that will be the subject of Chapter 5.

## **The asylums of British India**

From a very early date there is evidence that the British decided that a specialist facility needed to be available to them in which they could segregate those they encountered in the Indian population as insane. In 1795 the Commander in Chief of the Bengal Army wrote to the Governor General proposing to establish a 'house' at Monghier in which mad sepoys could be incarcerated.<sup>42</sup> At the time there were three such soldiers locked up in the guard room at the invalid depot in that garrison, a state of affairs the Commander considered highly unsuitable. The Governor-General's response was very positive as he agreed that this was all a good idea and sanctioned a facility to be designed for the reception of about twenty patients which could be expanded further should there be the demand.<sup>43</sup>

Just over 85 years later it was announced that, 'the asylum at Hazaribagh was closed in the month of March.'<sup>44</sup> Coming soon after the decision to put the Moydapore institution into mothballs,<sup>45</sup> the expansion of the asylum system for those the British considered mad and wished to incarcerate amongst the Indian population effectively ended and a period followed when new asylums were no longer planned and the numbers of those detained levelled off in the institutions which did remain. It is the twenty three years immediately preceding the publication in 1880 of the decision to close the Hazaribagh asylum which will broadly be the focus of this study.

The reason that the period 1857 to 1880 is important is not simply that it was the first years of direct rule of India by the British after the dissolution of the East India Company. The period was the most significant in the history of asylum provision for Indians by the British in the nineteenth century.

For example, in the realm of law it was a period of important activity. Act XXXVI of 1858 was the first act specifically designed to provide a legal framework for incarcerating those Indians considered mad by the British who had not come to the attention of the authorities through criminal behaviour. The legal provisions for criminal lunatics of the various administrations of India were also standardized in Chapter XXVII of the Criminal Procedure Code which was passed in 1861.

While the British in this period were establishing a legal framework in which those Indians they considered mad could be dealt with, they were also setting up an institutional network in which those Indians could be detained. With the opening of the Lucknow Lunatic Asylum in 1859 there began two decades of unprecedented activity in providing buildings to contain those the British encountered as 'mad' in the Indian population. Of the twenty-six asylums which operated in the areas under the jurisdiction of the Government of India in this period no less than sixteen have their origins in the 1860s and 1870s.<sup>46</sup> Alongside this building of new asylums the institutions which pre-dated the period 1857 to 1880 were the subject of expansion programmes, so that reports such as that for the Dacca Asylum in 1875 were common:

The Construction of cells has been sanctioned by His Honor the Lieutenant Governor, and will be carried out at a cost not exceeding Rs. 21500. . . . This new building will consist of 20 cells capable of accommodating 40 lunatics, two in each cell, on the plan of the present female ward.<sup>47</sup>

This host of new buildings was quickly pressed into use and it was in this period that the number of detainees in institutions designated 'lunatic asylums' made the most significant leaps of the nineteenth century. Just looking at the asylum population figures of the three Presidencies demonstrates the importance of the period. In 1865 in the asylums of the Bombay Presidency there were 353<sup>48</sup> inmates at the end of the year, by 1875 there were 568<sup>49</sup> and by 1880 646.<sup>50</sup> The asylum population grew by some 83 per cent in the fifteen year period until 1880, leaping 60 per cent in the dec-

ade between 1865 and 1875 alone. In the fifteen years after 1880 though the asylum population grew by just 10 per cent.<sup>51</sup> In Madras the population of the asylum was just 140<sup>52</sup> people in 1867, but by 1880 this had more than doubled to 330.<sup>53</sup> This period of significant growth continued until 1885 when the asylum population reached 600<sup>54</sup> people at which point it seems to have virtually stopped growing, peaking in the nineteenth century at 608<sup>55</sup> in 1895 before falling to 559<sup>56</sup> in 1900.

It was in the Bengal Presidency, which had the most asylums of any one administration in India and in which the most people were incarcerated as 'lunatics' that the story of this period is most clearly told. In 1865 the total population of all the asylums was 627 people which by 1875 had risen to 1147,<sup>57</sup> a growth of 82 per cent in ten years. This was a peak in the nineteenth century as ten years later the population was 955<sup>58</sup> and by 1900 the population had fallen to 906.<sup>59</sup>

As is evident from such figures this was hardly the 'great incarceration' of people in which the asylum has been implicated in the nineteenth-century European context. Figures available for 1880 suggest that there were only around 2750 patients incarcerated for the whole of India at the end of a period of rapid expansion and after which rates of growth in the asylum population slowed to a trickle or even turned negative.<sup>60</sup> What will be explored here then is not a series of institutions which contained a significant proportion of the Indian population. It is the burst of energy in the provision of facilities for those that the British decided were 'lunatic' in the two decades or so after they took direct control of the government of India in 1857 that needs explaining and which promises to bring into focus the concerns and contemplated projects of the British in India in such an important period.

The study however does extend itself to the end of the century in considering the Indian Hemp Drugs Commission. As already mentioned this was the parliamentary commission established by the House of Commons in 1893/4 to investigate the issue of cannabis use in the population of India. It will be demonstrated that the network of asylums which was expanded and established in this burst of governmental energy in the 1860s and 1870s was capable of producing ideas and information which were to become powerful enough to transcend the domain of colonial government and to become an issue for the metropolitan government of Britain.

# Index

- Act XXXVI of 1858 12, 67, 72  
Admissions Policies 100–2  
Admissions Regulations 66–8  
Agency 147–8, 183–5  
Ainslie, Whitelaw 45  
Alcohol 50, 63  
Allahabad Pioneer 44, 47  
Amin, Shahid 4–5  
Andrews, Jonathan 25–6  
*Annales Medicos-Psychologiques* 31  
Appadurai, Arjun 49, 56, 125  
Arms Acts (1857, 1860) 78  
Arnold, David 35, 43, 64, 110,  
127, 142, 143, 150  
Arrah 152  
Asylums  
Agra 61  
Ahmedabad 110, 118  
Bangalore 59  
Bareilly 67, 107, 125  
Benares 157  
Berhampore 153  
Calicut 114  
Colaba 109, 113, 122, 124, 167,  
170  
communalism in 122  
community use of 11, 68, 129,  
132–44  
Cuttack 14, 56, 109, 160  
Dacca 12, 18, 56, 61, 68, 109,  
122, 155, 157, 164, 168, 172  
Delhi 57, 59, 109, 110, 119,  
121, 151, 153, 154, 155, 158  
Dullunda 56, 60, 114, 120, 123,  
151, 153, 155, 156, 157,  
160, 161, 162, 165, 174  
growth of 12, 13  
Hazaribagh 11, 153, 159, 178  
Hyderabad 110, 120, 121  
Ireland 104  
Jubbulpore 57, 166, 168  
Lahore 162  
Lucknow 12, 16–17, 26, 66–7,  
76, 98, 153, 160–1, 164  
Madras 29, 110, 117, 152, 157,  
166  
manufacturing profits in 162  
Monghier 11  
Moydapore 11, 14, 69, 178  
Nagpur 49, 59, 135, 166, 170  
Patna 52, 152, 154, 168  
Pennsylvania 106  
Poona 121, 174  
Population of 12–13  
Rainhill 22  
Rangoon 1, 111, 154  
Robben Island 150  
Royal Edinburgh 18, 22  
Tezpur 62  
Valkenberg 25, 104  
Vizagapatam 157  
Waltair 157  
York Retreat 18, 105  
Balfour, John 120  
Barwars 72  
Bengal Medical Expenditure  
Committee (1879) 177–8  
Berrios, German 32  
Beveridge, Allan 18, 22  
Bhagoovie 132–3  
Bharatpur 130–2  
Bhugia 141  
Bhugwan Deen 133  
*British Medical Journal* 31  
Bucknill, John Charles 31

- Caine, William 44, 60  
 Campbell, George 34  
 Cannabis  
   British attitudes towards 44–8  
   and colonial government 5,  
     63–5, 178–9  
   and mental illness 50–65  
   use of in Indian society 6, 63  
 Caste 10  
 Chatterjee, Gautam 126  
 Chauri Chaura 4  
 Circular Memorandum 105 of 1871  
   (Bengal) 14  
 Civil Surgeons  
   duties of 152  
   as prison superintendents 94–7,  
     117  
   psychiatric training of 29–31  
 Clark, James 66  
 Coleborne, Cathy 8, 24  
 Connolly, John 31  
 Couper, George 92  
 Criminal Lunatics  
   admission regulations 66–7  
   admissions policies 80–97  
   female 96–100  
   in prisons 90–7  
   segregation of 67  
   witness testimony in cases  
     involving 82–90  
 Criminal Procedure Code  
   (1861) 12, 71  
 Criminal Tribes Act (1871) 72, 127  
 Dalooie 97  
 Deacon, Harriet 150  
 Digby, Anne 18, 105  
 Dirks, Nicholas 183  
 Discipline  
   colonial projects 9, 79–80,  
     100–2, 112, 126–8, 179, 180,  
   psychiatry as 6–9, 100–2,  
     118–26, 179, 180  
 Discourse(s)  
   analysis 26–42  
   colonial 3–4, 25, 34–5, 38–42,  
     43, 79, 119, 164, 178  
   gendered 24, 39, 97–100, 120–2,  
     178  
   infantilism 118–19  
   medical 3, 5, 26, 33, 41, 118,  
     178  
   ‘Village India’ 121  
 Disease Classification 20–21  
 Dispensaries 136, 143  
 Doms 150  
 Duncan, Andrew 31  
*Edinburgh Medical Journal* 31  
 Eigen, Joel Peter 82–3  
 Eley, G. 183  
*Encyclopaedia Britannica* 45  
 Enemas 115–17  
 Epilepsy 56  
 Ernst, Waltraud 8, 103  
 Escapes 166–8  
 Ethnological Committee in the  
   Central Provinces (1867) 34  
 Family Admissions 136–42  
 Fanon, Franz 8, 181  
 Female Infanticide Act (1870) 100  
 Female patients 96–100, 120–2,  
   132–3, 138–40, 170–1, 172,  
   173–4  
 Finnane, Mark 104, 137  
 Forestry Acts 127  
 Foucault, Michel 6, 104, 105, 117,  
   126, 181  
 Fraser, Nancy 39  
 Ganja *see* Cannabis  
 Gaol Bahar 100  
 Gazetteers 34  
 General Paralysis of the  
   Insane 147  
 Giustino, D. de 54  
 Gokhall 89  
 Goldstein, Jan 53  
 Grief 56  
 Guha, Ranajit 4, 25  
 Harrison, Mark 142, 143  
 Heengun Khan 36  
*History of Psychiatry* 21  
 Hood, Charles 30  
 House of Commons 5, 13, 44, 48,  
   60

- Howell, Arthur 92  
Hyder Cooly 165
- Idiots 73–4, 173  
Inden, Ronald 39, 121  
Indian Hemp *see* Cannabis  
Indian Hemp Drugs Commission  
(1894) 6, 13, 44, 59–63  
*Indian Medical Gazette* 46, 61, 136,  
148  
Infanticide 97–100
- Jeffery, Patricia 132  
Jeffery, Roger 132  
Jordanova, Ludmilla 24  
Juvenile Offenders 126
- Kakar, Sudhir 130  
Kishore 85–6  
Koonj Beharee Singh 84–5  
Kulloo 87–8  
Kumar, Jeeobodh 76–7, 145, 147,  
163  
*Lancet, The* 31, 47  
Lancet Commission on Lunatic  
Asylums 30  
Luchman Singh 153, 160–3  
Lyons, Maryinez 150, 163
- MacLeod, Roy 8  
Magdalen Asylum 37  
Major-Poetzl, Pamela 126–7  
Masturbation 1–3, 111–12  
Matthews, Jill 24  
Maudsley, Henry 31  
Mental Illness  
  and ability to work 36–8, 107,  
  112, 119  
  causes of 56–7  
  colonial definitions of 39–41, 70–1  
  cross-cultural diagnosis of 83  
  in prisons 90–7, 134–5  
  and vagrancy 69–75, 132–6  
  and violence 76–82  
Military Insanes 66, 96  
Mir Baz 115–17  
Miscarriage 56  
Monk, Joanne 37  
Mooloowa 136–8
- Mukhsoodally Khan 40  
Murders 46, 51, 81, 84–6, 95,  
  97–8, 158, 168–9  
Murray, John 110
- O'Hanlon, Rosalind 175, 183–4  
Opium 50  
Ortner, Shirley 146, 183  
O'Shaughnessy, W.B. 45
- Paul, James 129, 144  
Pauar, Regino 103  
Payne, Arthur 110, 113, 118, 125  
Peloo 148  
Penal Colonies 126, 180  
Persaud, Rajendra 21  
Phrenology 34, 53–4  
Police 51, 57, 60, 67–8  
Porter, Roy 9  
Post-Mortems 32, 116, 148  
Pregnancy 138–40, 170–1  
Prisons 66, 80–1, 90–7, 107, 110,  
  134–5, 179  
Professionalization 33, 51  
Psychoanalysis 103  
Psychiatry  
  in America 7, 10  
  in Australia 8, 24, 37  
  in Britain 7, 18, 21–3, 32–3, 37  
  in Canada 9  
  and colonialism 8–9, 24–5,  
  100–2, 103–4, 118–28  
  East Africa 150  
  feminist accounts of 7, 10, 24,  
  36–7, 106  
  in France 7, 9, 36  
  Indian systems of 130–2  
  in Ireland 104, 137  
  in Philippines 103  
  in Singapore 8  
  and social discipline 6–9, 100–2  
  somatic theories of 31–3, 51  
  in South Africa 8, 25, 104, 150
- Ray, Laurence 31  
Resistance 2, 9, 175–6  
  and insanity 145–9  
  by patients 163–76, 181  
  by staff 149–63, 176, 181

- Retrospective Diagnosis 21–6, 41  
 Ripa, Yannick 7, 9, 36, 104  
 Rose, Nikolas 7, 38  
*Rules for the Management and Control of the Lunatic Asylum at Lucknow* 66  
 Russell, Richard 149  
  
 Saunders, Janet 90  
 Schizophrenia 22  
 Scott, James 176  
 Scull, Andrew 7, 31–2, 33  
 Self-Admissions 132–6  
 Sharma, Shridhar 103, 125, 130  
 Sheikh Bahadoor 153, 155  
 Showalter, Elaine 7, 37  
 Smith, George 117  
 Smith, Roger 98  
 Soordiah 98  
 Staff 10–11, 149–63  
 Statistics 15–21  
   age data 16–17  
   and colonialism 15, 49  
   causes of mental illness 56–7  
   criminal lunatics 80–2  
   Indian Hemp Drugs Commission and asylum 61–3  
   type of illness 17–21  
 Stephen, James Fitzjames 99  
 Stewart, Mark 43–4, 49  
 Subaltern Studies 4–5, 10, 24  
 Suicides 170, 172  
 Surveillance  
   physiological 27–35, 53–6, 112  
   social 43, 64, 75  
 Swartz, Sally 8, 25, 104  
 Syphilis 147  
  
 Thomas, Nicholas 10, 182  
 Thornton, J. Howard 152  
 Treatment Regimes  
   blistering in 115  
   cleanliness in 109  
   diet in 122, 158–9, 161  
   drugs in 110, 114  
   force-feeding in 109, 170  
   historiography of 103–7  
   hydrotherapy 117  
   Indian 130–1  
   moral management 105–8, 112–13, 128, 157–9  
   objectives of 112, 125–8  
   restraint in 113–18  
   role of staff 157–63  
   work in 37, 105–8, 119–21, 124–5, 158, 162  
  
 Uprising of 1857 46, 75, 79, 153  
 Utilitarianism 40  
  
 Vaughan, Megan 127, 128  
 Vaccination 110, 142  
 Vagrancy 69–75, 132–6  
 Visitors 161  
  
 Walker, Nigel 82, 98  
 Watson, Stephen 91  
 Waxler, Nancy 141  
 White, Hayden 23  
 Wise, James 17–19, 30, 57, 148, 172  
 Wynter, Andrew 31  
  
 Zalim 137