

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	vii
<i>List of Tables</i>	ix
<i>Notes on the Contributors</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiv
1 Introduction	1
<i>Kaushalesh Lal and Pierre A. Mohnen</i>	
2 The Textiles and Clothing Industry and Economic Development: A Global Perspective	10
<i>Kaushalesh Lal</i>	
3 The Institutional Environment and the Textiles and Clothing Industry in China	37
<i>Yanyun Zhao, Danbo Guo, Fang Chen, Feng Zhen, Zhi Li, Enjing Li, Haodi Li and Qingping Zhang</i>	
4 The Indian Textiles and Clothing Industry and Innovation Policies	97
<i>K. Narayanan</i>	
5 The Textiles and Clothing Industry and Economic Development: A Case Study of Mauritius	141
<i>Aveeraj S. Peedoly</i>	
6 Technological Capacity-Building Initiatives in the Ghanaian Apparel Industry	195
<i>Donatus Kosi Ayitey</i>	
7 International Trade Rules and the Textiles and Clothing Industry in Uruguay	231
<i>Adriana Peluffo</i>	
<i>Index</i>	291

1

Introduction

Kaushalesh Lal and Pierre A. Mohnen

This volume addresses the very critical question of the role of international trade rules and capacity-building initiatives in the growth of the textiles and clothing (T&C) industry in developing countries. This issue has taken a pivotal place in the development literature as a result of the complete abolition of the quota system of the Multi-Fibre Arrangement (MFA) in the T&C industry since 1 January 2005. In several countries there are visible effects of the abolition of the WTO quota provisions. Countries such as China and India have benefited from it while some African countries, such as Kenya and Ghana, have lost substantial international markets that had been protected for them under the previous WTO quota system. On the other hand, many developing countries, such as Vietnam and Mexico, were almost unaffected by the abolition of WTO provisions. Is the abolition of the quota system the real cause of the difficulties encountered by the T&C industry in many developing countries over the last ten years?

This book considers several aspects that could explain the differential evolution of export performance in the T&C industry of several developing countries. The explanation could lie in the trade liberalization in the T&C industry, changes in the cost of production, the level of development, national policies in favour of T&C industry, and their association in the present scenario of the international trade in T&C products.

Chapter 2 provides a foundation for the analysis offered in the subsequent chapters. It investigates the role of wage rates and the level of economic development in the international trade of textiles and clothing. Data for 51 developing countries for the period 1975–2004 are used in the analysis presented in this chapter. The selection of countries is constrained by the availability of data.

The countries in the sample have been grouped into three categories according to their performance in international markets. Several countries, such as China, India, Indonesia, and Singapore, which ventured into the export market of clothing during the 1960s and 1970s still continue to

maintain their share of international trade. In fact, countries such as China and India have increased their share of the global trade in clothing, particularly since the early 1980s. Trends in textiles exports have, by and large, followed similar patterns in several developing countries with few exceptions, such as Singapore, where textiles exports have been declining since the mid-1990s while there is no sign of decline in the level of garment exports. All such countries where garment exports have not declined have been categorized as 'first tier' countries. There is another category of countries, such as Korea, the Dominican Republic, and Uruguay, that experienced decline in their T&C industry during the late 1980s. Such countries have been grouped together as 'second tier' countries. Most of the second tier countries emerged into international markets either in the late 1970s or the early 1980s. The decline of T&C exports in the second tier countries may be attributed to a lack of innovation policies (Mytelka and Ernst, 1998). A third group of countries began to experience a decline in garments exports during the late 1990s and almost exited in the next few years. Labelled as 'third tier' countries, their presence in international markets was short lived. The average sustainability period of their presence in the global market has been around 7–9 years, albeit with a few exceptions, such as Argentina, Brazil, Costa Rica, Israel, Malaysia, Mexico, and Thailand, that entered the international market in the 1970s.

The findings of the chapter suggest that the level of income, technological policies at the national level, rising wages, and the shifting of the manufacturing base from labour-intensive sectors to high profit and capital-intensive sectors can have influenced the production and exports of T&C, in addition to protectionism and changes in WTO rules.

It seems that the rise in per capita GDP has been one reason for the downfall of the T&C industry in most developing countries. However, there are some exceptions – such as Macao (SAR China), Hong Kong (SAR China) and Singapore. Despite a very high GDP per capita, T&C exports from these countries show no sign of decline. The most reasonable factor in Macao and Hong Kong seems to be the business model adopted by these countries. Garment manufacturing firms in these countries shifted their manufacturing base to mainland China, where average wage levels are much lower. Singapore might be following the same business model by subcontracting to Indonesia. Alternatively, Singapore might still be competitive in the global markets as the result of technological capacity-building initiatives.

The association between the rise in wages and the export performance of the T&C industry is not uniform across the sampled countries. With the exception of Hong Kong and Singapore, clothing exports continue to increase in first tier countries, even though they have reached average wage levels of US\$12,000. In other countries, with the exception of third tier African countries, the decline has been experienced at a wage rate ranging from US\$4,000 to US\$10,000. T&C exports in African countries declined at a wage rate of

around US\$2,000. We can infer from the export performance of third tier African countries that the main reason for their exit from export markets was the result of a lack of technological capabilities rather than wage pressures. This shows that they came under competitive pressure sooner, and may not have had the chance to build capacity, upgrade or become increasingly innovative. In most of these countries, however, there was no big shift, just a decline in exports and this was premature – that is, it took place before there were internal wage pressures to do so.

The statistical analysis presented in Chapter 2 is complemented in the remaining five chapters by in-depth case studies of five countries: two first-tier countries (China and India), one second-tier country (Uruguay) and two third-tier countries (Mauritius and Ghana). The case studies of China and India are important in that these two countries were among the earliest entrants in international markets in T&C and still continue to increase their market share. It is expected to reveal factors other than wages and shifting to other sectors that have enabled these countries to increase their T&C exports, despite successfully developing high-tech sectors such as software in India and electronic hardware in China. This set of countries also enables us to examine these hypotheses in the context of four different sorts of international trading environments: those of the MFA under GATT (1974–1994) and the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) in WTO (1995–2004), the Lomé IV (1990–2000) and Cotonou (2000–2020) agreements between the Africa, Caribbean, and Pacific (ACP) countries and the EU, the Caribbean Basin Initiative (CBI: 2000–2008) between the US and Caribbean region, and the Africa Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA: 2000–2015) between the USA and Africa.

The researchers in Chapter 3 analyse the performance of the T&C industry in China. The chapter examines the efficacy of the Chinese textiles and clothing policies and its relationship with the growth trajectories followed by the T&C industry over the course of the past two decades. While assessing the relevance of T&C policies it was considered vital to take into consideration all of the stakeholders in the industry. Hence in addition to analysing the policy initiatives the authors have interviewed several large firms to understand the role of policies in technological capacity building. Consequently, the chapter is divided into three parts. The first part discusses T&C policies and their impact on the performance of the industry. The other two parts present case studies of firms in the clothing sector and the textiles sector respectively.

The analysis of foreign trade, the trade competitive index, and the revealed comparative advantage index indicate two important features. First, China's foreign trade has been increasing significantly and the international market share has seen a continuous improvement over the past few decades. The primary exports have changed completely from textiles products to clothing. On the one hand, a favourable economic environment is provided by the high rates of economic growth and the promotional policies of the government.

On the other hand, entry into WTO and the abolition of the textiles and clothing quota system appear to have provided further opportunities for China.

Secondly, China's T&C industry as a whole has become more competitive on the world stage, and the competitive advantage of the textiles sector is stronger than that of the clothing sector. China's competitiveness is based on the advantage offered by its abundant labour resource and the extensive use of traditional techniques. However, because of the increase of labour costs and the transformation of the T&C industry from labour-intensive to technology-intensive, the trade competitiveness of China's T&C at the aggregate level has been weakening over the period between 1980 and 2005. Therefore, China, on the one hand, needs to improve the labour productivity of its T&C industry. On the other hand, it should optimize the trade patterns, form powerful brands and increase the exports of high added-value, high-quality T&C products, in order to improve its international competitiveness in the trade of the textiles and clothing industry.

The case studies suggest that, in addition to taking advantage of international trade rules and government policies, T&C firms have also invested huge amounts in capacity-building programmes. The case studies of two clothing sector firms – Youngor and Hongdou – reveal many similarities in the processes of internationalization within these corporations, albeit with different emphases. They both began as small enterprises operating a single production unit. Learning from international experience and independent innovation activities they succeeded in generating new business ideas, improved their technological levels and operational patterns, experienced independent development processes and internationalization, paid attention to reform and the development of brand strategy, created self-determination and brand culture, and built up a huge sales network. At the same time, they paid attention to digitized projects and information technology and made use of high technology to enhance competitiveness. In their brand strategy, Youngor paid attention to the use of others' experience. By contrast, Hongdou focussed on independent innovation strategies and relied on the traditional culture of China to create its brand culture.

From the case studies of leaders in the Chinese textiles sector – the Changshan Group and the Weiqiao Group – it is clear that several characteristics in their development trajectory were common to every one of these firms. Their growth processes were based mainly on expansions in scale. In switching from a controlled economy to a market economy, the Changshan Group and the Weiqiao Group grasped the opportunities of markets and benefited from policies to increase the scale of the operations. In the course of their expansion, they improved their management systems and upgraded their equipment and technology in order to remain competitive. These groups faced the new challenges of the WTO positively by making efforts to enlarge markets and achieve the status of international enterprises.

The Indian T&C industry is analysed in Chapter 4. The analysis suggests that the structure of the T&C industry in India is to some extent a limitation. India's T&C industry has been dominated by fragmented small producers, and there is little vertical integration in the apparel sector (USITC 2004). Even given all of these lacunae the Indian textiles sector is still the second-largest employer in the nation. In order to grow and compete in the new post-MFA era the Indian textiles sector has to overcome structural difficulties. Similarly, new strategies will be required to meet the competition from firms around the world in a post-MFA era. The industry has made efforts to upgrade technology, but only to a limited extent.

In recent decades, the Indian domestic textiles sector has been quite competitive and has been subjected to many upheavals. Domestic and foreign firms need to be encouraged to invest in export production in order to produce more output. There is a need to bring about technological improvement, structural changes, and liberalization from controls and regulations, increased labour and machine productivity and reliable quality assurance systems for the betterment of the industry. The textiles sector has gone through significant changes in the expectation of increased levels of international competition. For the overall development of the economy, the textiles sector should be treated more favourably than any other sector, within the larger framework of India's industrial policy. Despite the insufficient initiatives taken towards capacity-building initiatives, India's T&C industry has benefited from the abolition of the quota regime of WTO.

The performance of the T&C industry in Mauritius is examined in Chapter 5. The findings suggest that having been one of the main engines of growth of the Mauritian economy, in recent years the local T&C industry has suffered an important downturn over the past few years. Inherent weaknesses such as rising costs of labour, unfavourable terms of trade and declining productivity, notwithstanding the liberalization of trade and the dismantling of the MFA, have forced the industry to restructure itself.

Until very recently, in a context where thousands of workers were being laid off as long-standing companies relocated to greener pastures, many observers had nearly written off the industry and the mood was downbeat. There is now some evidence, as shown in the cases studied, that the sector has been successfully making an important transition to the manufacture of upper-range commodities where it can compete more successfully with emerging low-cost producers. What remains of the sector is predominantly a core group of large enterprises which account for the lion's share of the stakes in terms of exports as well as employment. However, the experience and high standards of quality and reliability which Mauritian T&C producers have acquired and their constant endeavour to innovate and focus on the needs of the customers have enabled them to secure niche markets.

Moreover, together with the challenges of globalization, there have also been some important opportunities presented to the sector, particularly in

the form of the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA). The considerable investment in new spinning mills and the establishment of strategic partnerships and production sites in India (in the case of FKL) and China (in the case of CMT) reveal the attempts of the industry to make the most of preferential access to the US market.

Nonetheless, there is a need for further research to find out how far the smaller firms are able to cope with a context that is becoming increasingly difficult. As Hurreeram and Little (2002) note, many companies have almost deliberately ignored the implications of competing against low-cost producers on a level playing field (without any protectionist policies including duty- and quota-free access to the markets) given the pressures of increasing demand from the market. These firms have been worst hit by the liberalization of trade. A series of policies and measures taken by the government to redress a number of important weaknesses in the industry, particularly concerning the need to restructure their operations, upgrade technology, upgrade quality and design, innovate, produce high value-added products for niche markets are expected to assist the industry and provide positive signals to the players in the industry.

Time will tell if the objective of the government to position the local T&C industry as a 'textile hub' in the region will materialize itself. Certainly the employment of more than 50,000 workers and the Mauritian economy itself will depend on this.

The findings of the study of the Ghanaian T&C industry, presented in Chapter 6, suggest that the industry registered its greatest output in the mid-1970s and that it has been in continuous decline since that time. Trade liberalization, which was initiated in 1983, could be seen as a new condition to which the industry needed to adjust. Prior to liberalization, the inefficiencies of the sub-sector were not as evident because competition was just among the local manufacturers who must now also cope with external firms. For the textiles industry, historically the larger firms such as GTP, ATL and GTMC had been producing to the satisfaction of the local demand and taste and were not essentially big exporters. This implies that since the entire production processes were not automated, the cost of production is much higher than it would normally be with full automation without incorporating the local designs and tradition.

Even given the high costs of production the firms were still doing relatively well until the pirating of designs and logos by external firms that tended to flood the local markets with fake products. Buyers consider these products to be authentic ones and go for them at their relatively lower price levels compared to the authentic ones. What makes the difference between the price competitiveness of the local and foreign companies is that, whereas the foreign companies use fully automated processes to produce their products at relatively cheaper cost and market them to unsuspecting customers using pirated local logos and designs, the local companies employ a more

cost-inefficient but higher-quality combination of traditional and automated techniques. This is essentially the problem associated with the Ghanaian textiles companies.

In recent years, the Ghanaian garments sector has exhibited some positive trends, particularly by firms located in export processing zones. The bottom line is that the upstart apparel companies in the sample appear to be performing relatively well compared to their textiles counterparts which have survived both internal and external shocks for many decades. Such internal shocks comprised of changes in policy resulting in change of governments and external factors such as international trade policies. The recent AGOA between the USA and Ghana has somehow provided ready markets for Ghanaian textiles and apparel products, but it appears that the garments companies located in the export processing zones have been the greatest beneficiaries. The incentives and tax holidays, together with the available pool of trained labour, has given them the edge over those textiles companies that are not located within the Free Zone. In fact, the taxes on finished products instead of the incentives have made matters worse.

The VAT/NHIL levy alone is currently 15 per cent on finished products. Some experts tend to favour the extension of the favourable conditions enjoyed by the companies located within the Free Zone to those that are outside the Zone. Furthermore, textiles companies must find ways of fully automating their production processes whilst simultaneously accounting for local taste in order to survive. Government must help to curb the influx of pirated goods into the market and minimize, if not eliminate, the smuggling of fake and other products into the country. To help especially the exporters meet their delivery times, measures should be put in place at the nation's ports to facilitate administration procedures. As it stands now, it takes a Ghanaian exporter an average of 40 days for the products to be delivered to a US partner compared to only 20 days for their Asian counterparts.

The findings of the study of the Ghanaian T&C industry suggest that although international trade rules such as AGOA and the Lomé Convention have contributed to some extent to the improvement in the performance of the garments sector, governance has been a major problem for the expected growth of both textiles and garments sectors. For the garments sector government has to help exporters by providing better and more efficient shipment facilities. One of the possible ways could be to provide single window services to exporters for shipment and delivery in international markets. For the textiles sector, which is predominantly domestically oriented, government can help local producers by enforcing existing piracy laws, and, if needed, it could enact suitable new legislation to curb the menace of smuggling and other illegal trading activities. Firms in textiles need to be encouraged to adopt automated production technologies. We conclude that good governance, coupled with international trade rules, could change the present levels of performance of the textiles and clothing industry in Ghana.

Chapter 7 investigates the development trajectory of the Uruguayan T&C industry. The author concludes that over the course of the past twenty years, the two firms interviewed in the textiles sector changed their product mix, moving from wool only to mixtures of wool and other fibres. This has required changes in machinery and in the retraining of workers. The two firms interviewed that are still in business are involved in joint research projects with the University of the Republic in Uruguay, but neither of them has made use of the DINACYT programme to promote innovation. The two textiles firms are vertically integrated, and more than 90 per cent of their production is exported. In every case, the company representative said that the firm has learned from its participation in foreign markets. In all the cases training is considered to be essential for the firm to perform well. They all participate in global networks as fabrics suppliers.

The best-performing textiles firm (Paylana) has made a strong commitment to improving quality and design in order to gain access to high-quality segments of the market. It is also the firm with the largest number of links to Uruguayan networks and institutions, and to foreign enterprises. Paylana has a good level of technology. Keeping up to date with the international technology standards and continuous workers' training are cornerstones of the company's culture. The plant is completely automated and information and computer technologies are used intensively. This is the only firm they interviewed that actually has a marketing department. It engages in ongoing market and technology research and it has a very close working relationship with its customers. Hisud is geared to middle-high market segments, where competition from Asia and Eastern European countries is more intense, and their most important lines are menswear.

In the clothing sector, the three firms interviewed are clearly export-oriented and they have all introduced changes in response to fashion market trends. Pelsa is a relatively small firm that has managed to survive and grow in recent years. Its strong points are that it is flexible, it specializes in accessories, and its markets are diversified. It invests in training and technology, and computers and information technologies are in operation in some of the firm's activities.

Over the course of the interviews, these firms did not dwell particularly on high costs or the need for some form of state assistance, but they did state that it is necessary to have clear long-term rules and that trade agreements were essential in order to allow the sector to progress and grow. Of the firms interviewed, Sirfil has shown the worst performance over the past few years. Its level of profitability has declined, and its technology is ten years old because it has not been able to make the necessary investments to keep up to date in this area. Thus, it seems that the main factors that enable firms to compete successfully in foreign markets are continuous investment in training and technology, the existence of trade agreements, that sales are targeted to

high-quality segments of the market, and that the firm has a clearly defined marketing strategy.

It is crystal clear from the findings of the Uruguayan T&C industry case studies that those firms that have failed to invest in innovation and technology have experienced a decline in performance whereas technology and quality-conscious firms have survived in the quota-free trading environment of the T&C industry. Over the past few years the declining trend in T&C exports at the national level is attributed mainly to the lack of technological promotional policies and export promotion policies at the government level.

In conclusion, we may argue that liberalization and the ensuing market expansion have been beneficial to the export performance in those countries that had developed and continued to develop the capacity to innovate in various ways: through new products, especially in the high value-added segment, the adoption of new technologies, in particular computer and automation technologies, successful marketing and brand name development, and training activities. Firms are also assisted in getting on the right track through the provision of government assistance in the form of export processing zones, technology promotion policies, capacity building, development of infrastructure and market monitoring.

Index

Note: Entries under “textiles & clothing”, “clothing sector” and “textile sector” have been kept to a minimum, and readers should refer to the different countries, companies and policies for a more detailed discussion.

- Abuja Treaty on African Economic Community 170
- Africa 25–7, 35
 exports 25, 34
 GDP 26
 wage rates 27
see also Ghana; Mauritius
- African Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries 3, 5, 12, 197
- African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA) 3, 32, 170, 210
 and employment 172–3
 and Mauritius T&C industry 170–1, 173
- AfricStyle 227
- Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) 3, 12, 72, 261, 287
 post-ATC trade opportunities 169–73
- Akosombo Textile Limited 218–19, 227
- Ambattur Clothing Ltd 129–30
- Apparel International Mart (AIM) 108–9
- Apparel Parks for Exports (APE) 105, 109
- Argentina 2, 14
- Argentine Industrial Union 261
- artificial fibres 97
- Arvind Mills 131–2
- Asia 12, 23–5, 34
 exports 23
 GDP 24
 wage rates 24
- Asuncion Treaty 286
- Bangladesh 12, 98
 exports 13
- Barbados 12
- biotechnology 10
- Board for Industrial & Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) 103, 104
- Botswana 150
- Brazil 2, 14, 27
- Brazilian National Confederation of Industries 261
- CAD/CAM 161, 166, 175
- California Link 228
- Cambodia 98
- capability building 15
- capacity building 30
 technology 214–16
- capacity utilization 207
- Caribbean 27–30, 35
 exports 28
 GDP 29
 wage rates 29
- Caribbean Basin Initiative 3, 32
- carpet manufacture 102
- case studies
- China
 clothing sector 73–84
 textile sector 84–94
- Ghana 216–24
- India 128–34
- Mauritius 173–81
- Uruguay
 clothing sector 281–5
 textile sector 274–81
- cellulosic 102
- central value added tax (CENVAT) 110
- Changshan Textile Group Co., Ltd 4, 93–4
- channelling savings 265–6
- chemical technology 63
- Chile 10
- China 1, 2, 3–4, 12, 14, 37–96, 98
 Agreement on Textiles and Clothing 72

China – *continued*

case studies

Changshan Textile Group Co., Ltd
4, 93–4

Hongdou Group Co., Ltd 4, 78–82,
83

Ningbo Veken Lo., Ltd 59

Shandong Weiqiao Pioneering
Group Co., Ltd 87–92, 94

Shijiazhuang Changshan Textile
Group Co., Ltd 84–7

Weiqiao Pioneering Group Co., Ltd
4, 92

Youngor Group Co., Ltd 4, 73–8,
82–3

Zhenjiang Textile Co., Ltd 59

cloth tickets 44

competitiveness 48–55

cotton production 113

development of T&C industry 37–44

1980s 44–5

1990s 45–7

twenty-first century 47

domestic market share 39, 40

export tax rebate 65

exports 13, 38, 45, 47, 65–8

promotion policies 68

quota system and reform 66–8

subsidies 65–6

financial policies 59–61

asset restructuring 60–1

bad debts written-off and
debt-equity exchange 61

spindle reduction subsidies and
discount loans 60

global market share 46, 47–8

government role in T&C industry
55–68

green trade barrier 72–3

imports 38, 47

industrial policies 55–9

1980s 57–8

1990s 58–9

twenty-first century 59

institutional reform 69–70

labour productivity 39, 41

market share 12–13

multi-fibre arrangement 71–2

output 38

volume changes 39

personnel education and training 69

productive elements

clothing sector 42

textile sector 42

RCA 48, 52–5

clothing sector 56

textile sector 55

technological development 61–5

acceleration in 61–2

application of ICTs 64

fibre material technologies 62–3

fibre processing technology 63–4

implementation of international
standards 64–5

trade competitive index 49–52

value added 39, 41

China National Textile & Apparel
Council (CNTAC) 70

accession to WTO 70–1

clothing sector 4, 21–2

China 43, 73–84

exports 2, 16–17, 254–7

imports 154, 254, 255

RCA 56

revealed comparative advantage 53

trade competitive index 49, 51

Uruguay 232, 250–61, 281–5

Clothing Services Centre (CSC) 165–6

coir 119

Colombia 10

Common External Tariff 267,
272–3Common Market for Eastern and
Southern Africa (COMESA) 158,
165, 170Compagnie Mauricienne de Textiles
(CMT) 150, 173, 187–90

Compendium of Textile Statistics 98

competitive advantage 97

competitiveness 10, 11

China 48–55

Ghana 222–3

India 126–8

TCI *see* trade competitive index

Uruguay 247–50, 259–61

Comprehensive Economic Cooperation
and Partnership Agreement
(CECPA) 170

COMTRADE 14, 33

Costa Rica 2, 14

cotton 102

world production 113

- Cotton Corporation of India 105
 coverage ratio 242, 244
 credit assistance 264
 customer-centred approach 178–9
- Darga, Amédée 169
 debt restructuring 162
 Decent Touch 228
 delocalization 12
 deregulation 11
 design 248
 developing countries 11, 12
 exports 13
 see also individual countries
 development 11
 DGCIS 98
 DINACYT programme 8, 273
 disinvestment 103, 104, 107
 domestic market
 China 39, 40
 Ghana 222–3
 Uruguay 249
 Dominican Republic 2
 decline of T&C industry 14
- E-Marketplace 165
 Eco-Bank 214
 eco-labelling 99
 Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) 196, 210
 economic growth 11
 Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA) 213
 education and training *see* training
 employment 98
 and AGOA 172–3
 Ghana 203
 India 103
 Uruguay
 clothing sector 252–4
 textile sector 234–9
 Enterprise Mauritius 155, 164, 175
 enterprise resource planning 64
 environmental standards 99
 European Economic Community 12
 Export Development and Investment Fund (EDIF) 210, 214
 export insurance 268
 export processing zones (EPZs) 143–5, 157, 213
 credit lines to 162
 stagnation of 145
 export promotion policies 47
 China 68
 Ghana 210–14
 India 107–10
 Mauritius 156–60
 Uruguay 250, 268–70
 export tax rebate 47
 exports 2–3, 12, 14
 China 13, 38, 45, 47
 clothing sector 2, 16–17, 254–7
 decline in 14
 first tier countries 15, 16
 India 97, 107–10, 111–21
 Mauritius 151–3, 191
 second tier countries 17, 18
 textile sector 2, 14, 16–17
 third tier countries 23, 25, 28
 Uruguay 238, 241–3, 244, 254–7
 see also individual countries
- farming 10
 FDI *see* foreign direct investment (FDI)
 FERA *see* Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA)
 fibre material technology 62–3
 fibre processing technology 63–4
 first tier countries 2, 3, 15–17, 34–5
 exports 15, 16
 GDP 15, 16
 wage rates 15–17
 fixed asset investment 46
 Floreal Knitwear Ltd 150, 173, 177, 187–90
 foreign direct investment (FDI) 27
 India 107, 109
 Mauritius 149–50
 Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA) 103
 Foreign Trade Statistics of India 98
- Gabon 171
 GDP *see* gross domestic product (GDP)
 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 3, 196
 Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) 170, 197
 Germany, RCA 53, 54, 55, 56

- Ghana 1, 3, 6–7, 195–228
 capacity utilization 207
 case studies 216–24
 AfricStyle 227
 Akosombo Textile Limited 218–19, 227
 California Link 228
 Decent Touch 228
 Ghana Textile Printing 219
 Global Garments 227
 MaaGrace Garments 227
 Network Knitwear Fabrics 227
 Oak Brook Ghana Ltd 227
 Pagbo Kente Village 219–20, 227
 Premier Quality 228
 Printex Limited Company 217–18, 227
 Sleek Garments Export 220–1, 228
 TV Kente Weaving Enterprise 227
 Clothing Technology Training Center 213
 decline in production capacity 222
 delays in delivery times 223–4
 EU trade relations 212–13
 export zones 7
 Import Substitution Policy 199
 international trade regimes 196–8
 Management Development and Productivity Institute 199
 Presidential Special Initiatives 213–14, 215
 price competitiveness in domestic market 222–3
 product profile 221–2
 skills acquisition 221
 Structural Adjustment Programme 196
 T&C industry 198–214
 employment share 203
 history 199–201
 output 201, 202
 production growth rates 208
 structure of 199
 trade performance 216
 trade policy 203–4
 value added 200, 203–4, 205
 tariffs and trade 208–10
 technology adoption 204–5
 technology capacity building 214–16
 technology transfer 206, 221
 trade liberalization 206–10
 US trade relations 210–12
 VAT/NHIL levy 7
 Ghana Association of Fashion Designers 195
 Ghana Business Bureau 199
 Ghana Export Promotion Action Plan 210–14
 Ghana National Tailors and Dressmakers Association 195
 Ghana Standards Board 206
 Ghana Textile Printing 219
 Global Garments 227
 global market share
 China 46, 47–8
 India 121–3
 Global Production Network 176–8, 279, 281, 285
 global standards 99
 see also international trade rules
 Global Value Chain 176–8, 279, 281, 285
 Gokaldas Exports Ltd 130–1
 Golden Age of Business 210–14, 225–6
 green trade barrier 72–3
 gross domestic product (GDP) 2, 30
 first tier countries 15, 16
 second tier countries 18, 19
 third tier countries 24, 26, 29
 see also individual countries
 GTAP 6 99
 handicrafts 119
 Handloom 102
 high oriented yarn 61
 high-technology sectors 10
 Hirschman, Albert 201
 Hisud SA 8, 280–1
 employment, sales, costs and exports 280
 international trade rules 281
 learning process 281
 main features 280
 production profile 280
 technology 280
 trade unions 281
 Hong Kong 2, 12, 15, 33, 98
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 trade competitive index 50, 51

- Hongdou Group Co., Ltd 4, 78–82, 83
 application of information technology 82
 brand strategy and culture 81–2
 innovation 80–1
 management philosophy 79–81
 quality management 81
 wages performance link 81
- ICICI Bank 99
- ICT *see* Information and Communication Technology (ICT)
- imports
 China 38, 47
 clothing sector 154, 254, 255
 India 110–11, 123–6
 Mauritius 153–4
 textile sector 123–6, 239–41
 Uruguay 239–41, 254, 255
see also individual countries
- Index of Technological Progress 30
- India 1, 2, 12, 14, 97–138
 case studies 128–34
 Ambattur Clothing Ltd 129–30
 Arvind Mills 131–2
 Gokaldas Exports Ltd 130–1
 Indo Rama Synthetics 132–3
 clothing sector 5, 97
 policy measures 103–12
 RCA 56
 trade competitive index 51
 competitiveness 126–8
 export promotion policies 107–10
 advanced licensing scheme 108
 duty drawback scheme 108
 exports 97, 111–21
 annual growth 118
 foreign direct investment 109
 Growth Centres Scheme 107
 human resources development 109
 imports 110–11, 123–6
 raw materials 125
 ready-made garments 126
 semi-raw materials 125
 yarns and fabrics 125
 literature review 98–9
 market share 12–13, 97, 134
 Ministry of Textiles 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 114, 122
- National Institute of Fashion Technology (NIFT) 109
- National Renewal Fund 107
- National Textile Corporation 104
 policy reforms and initiatives 107
 RCA 53, 54, 56
- Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, (1985) 104
- small-scale industries 106
 spindle capacity 101
- Statement of Industrial Policy (1991) 101
- Textile Development and Regulation Order (1992) 101
- Textile Policy (1985) 100–1
- textile sector 5, 97
 employment 103
 export performance 111–21
 global market share 121–3
 history 99–100
 imports 123–6
 policy measures 103–12
 production trends 110–11
 RCA 55
 structure 101–3
 trade competitive index 51
 trade competitive index 50, 51
see also individual policy initiatives
- Indian Ocean Commission 170
- Indian Ocean Rim Association for Regional Cooperation 170
- Indo Rama Synthetics 132–3
- Indonesia 1, 12, 14, 98
 per capita income 31
 RCA 53, 54
 clothing sector 56
 textile sector 55
 trade competitive index 50
 clothing sector 51
 textile sector 51
- Industrial Development Bank of India (IDBI) 104
- industry structure
 Ghana 199
 India 101–3
- information and communication technology (ICT)
 China 64, 76, 82
 Uruguay 266–7

- innovation 10, 11, 13, 77, 80–1, 92–3, 273–4
 International Employers Organization (IEO) 261
 International Labour Organization (ILO) 261
 international standards 64–5
 international trade rules 13, 34, 168–9, 279, 281, 283–4, 285
 companies' perceptions of 181
 invention 11
 investment
 fixed asset 46
 Mauritius 184–5
 Uruguay 250
 see also foreign direct investment
 investment and promotion policies
 China 68
 Ghana 210–14
 India 108
 Mauritius 156–60
 Uruguay 250
 Israel 2, 14
 Italy 10
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56

 Jamaica 12
 Japan
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 trade competitive index 50, 51
 Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) 52
 jute 102–3, 119

 Kaldor, Nicholas 201
 Kenya 1, 10, 12
 knitted fabrics 101
 knotless yarn 62
 knowledge-intensive production 10
 Korea 2, 12, 98
 clothing sector 21–2
 decline of T&C industry 14, 20
 exports 19–21, 30
 GDP 21
 textile sector 22
 wage rates 21, 22
 Kurt Salmon Associates 166
 Kuwait 12

 Labour Compression Index 48
 labour costs 247
 labour force 247
 labour productivity
 China 39, 41, 42
 Uruguay 243, 245–6, 257–8
 labour regulation 100
 labour standards 179
 Lamy Initiative 142
 Latin America 12, 27–30, 35
 exports 28
 GDP 29
 wage rates 29
 Lesotho 150, 172
 lesser developed beneficiary country
 status 151, 210
 Lewis, Arthur 201
 Lomé and Cotonou Convention 3, 7, 12, 32, 145, 152, 197, 198, 212

 MaaGrace Garments 227
 Macao 2, 15, 33
 macroeconomic indicators 183
 Madagascar 150–1, 172
 Malaysia 2, 14, 33
 man-made textiles 117
 market share
 China 12–13
 India 12–13, 97, 134, 138
 Mauritius 3, 5, 12, 141–92
 'A Guide for Foreign Investors' 157
 Board of Investment 167
 case studies 173–81
 clothing sector
 boom in 146
 exports 152
 imports 154
 Clothing Services Centre (CSC) 165–6
 Compagnie Mauricienne de Textiles (CMT) 150, 173, 187–90
 current state of T&C industry 154–6
 effect of AGOA 170–1, 173
 Export Processing Zone Development Authority (EPZDA) 165–7
 export processing zones 143–5
 growth of 147–9
 stagnation of 145
 exports 151–3, 191
 European market 152–3
 main destinations 151–2
 US market 152

- Floreal Knitwear Ltd 150, 173, 177, 187–90
 foreign direct investment 149–50
 GDP 147
 government policies
 companies' perceptions of 180
 export promotion 156–60
 financial 162–3
 institutional 163–8
 response to international trade rules 168–9
 technology 160–2
 High Powered Committee (HPC) on Textile and Clothing 159
 history of T&C industry 143–4
 imports 153–4
 Industrial Expansion Act (1993) 158
 investment schemes 184–5
 macroeconomic indicators 183
 National Equity Fund 162
 relocation 150–1, 172
 Shibani Knitting Company Limited 173, 187–90
 Socota Textile Mills 173, 187–90
 SWOT analysis 186
 Textile Modernization Fund 163
 Textile Sub Fund 163
 Working Capital Scheme 163
 Mauritius Chamber of Commerce and Industry (MCCI) 155
 Mauritius Export Processing Zone Association (MEPZA) 155, 160, 166
 Mauritius International Apparel and Textile Exhibition (MIATEX) 164
 Meade Report 144
 MEDIA 159
 Mercosur 243, 249–50, 256
 Mexico 1, 2, 14
 MFA *see* Multi-Fibre Arrangement (MFA)
 MIDA (Mauritius Industrial Development Authority) 164
 Middle East 23–5, 34
 exports 23
 GDP 24
 wage rates 24
 minimum export prices 237, 271
 minimum support price 105
 MODVAT 104
 Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (MTRP) 103, 104
 Mozambique 150, 172
 Multi-Fibre Arrangement (MFA) 1, 3, 12, 71–2, 98, 122, 197, 212
 dismantling of 147
 post-MFA trade opportunities 5, 98, 105–6, 122, 126–8, 178, 181
 Namibia 172
 National Investment Bank 214
 national policies
 China 55–9
 India 102–12
 Mauritius 156–69
 Uruguay 263–74
 natural fibres 97
 coir 119
 jute 102–3, 119
 Nepal 98
 Net Export Index 48
 Network Knitwear Fabrics 227
 niche markets 178
 non-integrated spinning 102
 Norway 10
 Oak Brook Ghana Ltd 227
 output
 China 38
 Ghana 201, 202
 see also exports
 Pagbo Kente Village 219–20, 227
 Pakistan 98
 cotton production 113
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 trade competitive index 50, 51
 Paraguayan Industrial Union 261
 partially oriented yarn 102
 Paylana SA 8, 276–9
 employment, sales, costs and exports 277–8
 international trade rules 279
 learning process 278
 main features 276–7
 production profile 277
 technology 278
 trade unions 279

- Pelsa Internacional SA 8, 281–4
 employment, sales, costs and exports 282–3
 international trade rules 283–4
 learning process 283
 production profile 282
 technology 283
 trade unions 284
- Philippines 10, 98
- pioneer status enterprises 158
- policies
 export promotion *see* export promotion policies
 investment and promotion *see* investment and promotion policies
 national *see* national policies
- polynomials 15, 19–20, 26–7
- post-ATC trade opportunities 169–73
- post-MFA trade opportunities 5, 98, 105–6, 122, 126–8, 178, 181
- power looms 101, 102, 105
- preferential trade zones 170
- premier quality 228
- Presidential Special Initiatives 213–14
- Printex Limited Company 217–18, 227
- product diversification 179–80, 248
- product structure upgrading 46
- protectionism 2
- public sector enterprises 104
- quotas 13, 66–8, 99, 106
 elimination of 106, 110
- RCA *see* revealed comparative advantage
- ready-made garments 113–14, 122
- reference prices 237, 271
- regional orientation index 242
- Relative Export Performance Index *see* Revealed Comparative Advantage
- relocation 150–1, 172
- revealed comparative advantage 48, 52–5
 clothing sector 56
 textile sector 55
see also individual countries
- Rule of Origin 153
- second tier countries 2, 3, 14, 17–22, 34–5
 exports 17, 18, 19
- GDP 18, 19
 wage rates 20, 31–2
- Senegal 172
- Seychelles 171
- Shandong Weiqiao Pioneering Group Co., Ltd 87–92, 94
 development trajectory 90–1
 economic strength 91
 external orientation activities 91–2
 history 87–8
 influence in regional economic development 91
 innovation initiatives 92–3
 performance 89–90
 product profile 88–9
 production and business 88–90
- Shibani Knitting Company Limited 173, 187–90
- Shijiazhuang Changshan Textile Group Co., Ltd 84–7
 development and growth 84–5
 global trade 86–7
 management strategies and skill advancement 86
 performance 85
 product profile 85
- Sick Industrial Companies Act (SICA) 103, 107
- silk 100, 117
- Singapore 1, 12, 14, 15, 33
- Sirfil SA 8, 284–5
 employment, sales, costs and exports 284
 international trade rules 285
 learning process 285
 production profile 284
 technology 284–5
- Sleek Garments Export 220–1, 228
- small and medium-sized enterprises 158
- Socota Textile Mills 173, 187–90
- soils, knowledge of 10
- South Africa 171
- Southern African Development Community (SADC) 165, 170
- Southern Common Market (Mercosur-Mercado Común del Sur)
- specific import rights 272
- spinning mills, incentives to 172

- Sri Lanka 98
 standard input-output norms (SION)
 108
 strategic local enterprises 158
- T-shirts 154, 175
 Taiwan 10, 33
 tariffs 11, 208–10
 Golden Age of Business
 tax exemption 264
 tax holidays 157
 tax rebates 268
 technology 13, 160–2, 204–5, 247–8
 capacity building 214–16
 chemical 63
 fibre material 62–3
 fibre processing 63–4
 Technology Mission on Cotton (TMC)
 110
 technology transfer 206, 221
 Technology Upgradation Fund 104,
 106, 108
 temporary admission regime 268
 textile & clothing industry 1, 3, 11–12
 exports *see* exports
 imports *see* imports
 see also individual countries
 Textile Centres Infrastructure
 Development Scheme (TCIDS)
 105, 109
 Textile Development and Regulation
 Order 101
 Textile Emergency Support Team (TEST)
 166
 Textile Modernisation Fund 104
 textile policy 100
 textile sector 18, 53
 China 42, 55, 84–94
 decline of 22
 exports 2, 14, 16–17
 Hong Kong 51, 55
 imports 123–6, 239–41
 India 5, 97, 99–123
 Korea 22
 RCA 53, 55
 revealed comparative advantage 55
 trade competitive index 49, 51
 Uruguay 232–50, 274–81
 see also individual countries
 Textile Vision 2010 16
- Textile Workers Rehabilitation Fund
 Scheme 104, 110
 textiles and clothing exports *see* exports
 Thailand 2, 10, 14
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 trade competitive index 50, 51
 third tier countries 2, 3, 14, 22–30,
 34–5
 Africa 25–7
 Asia and Middle East 23–5
 exports 23, 25, 28
 GDP 24, 26, 29
 Latin America and Caribbean 27–30
 wage rates 24, 27, 29
 trade competitive index 50
 China 48–52
 clothing sector 49, 51
 textile sector 49, 51
 trade liberalization 6, 11, 158
 Ghana 206–10
 Uruguay 272–3
 trade unions 279
 training
 China 69
 Uruguay 273–4
 transaction cost 128
 Turkey
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 trade competitive index 50, 51
 turning points 13, 27, 30, 31–2, 38,
 106, 144
 TV Kente Weaving Enterprise 227
- unfair trade advantage 99
 UNIDO 14, 33
 United Bank of Africa 214
 United States
 cotton production 113
 Long-Term Arrangement 197
 RCA 53, 54, 55, 56
 Short-Term Arrangement 197
 trade competitive index 50, 51
 United States Trade Representative 210
 Uruguay 2, 4, 8–9, 12, 231–88
 case studies 274–85
 Hisud SA 8, 280–1
 Paylana SA 8, 276–9
 Pelsa Internacional SA 8, 281–4
 Sirfil SA 8, 284–5
 clothing sector 232, 250–61

- Uruguay – *continued*
- competitiveness 259–61
 - cost structure 258–9
 - coverage ratio 256
 - employment 252–4
 - employment index 253
 - export firms 260
 - exports 254–7
 - importance of 252
 - imports 254, 255
 - informality 254
 - labour productivity 257–8
 - manufacturing share 252
 - physical volume index 253
 - production 252–4
 - regional orientation index 256
 - trade mechanisms 260
 - value added 252–4
- Common External Tariff 239
- credit access 250
 - decline of T&C industry 14
 - domestic trade policies 249
 - exchange rate policy 248–9
 - foreign market access 249–50
 - Free Trade Zone Regime 266
 - GDP 235
 - Industrial Promotion Law 264–6
 - innovation and training 273–4
 - institutions 261–3
 - Investment Law 266
 - investment promotion 250
 - Mercosur 243, 249–50, 256
 - public industrial policies 263–74
 - export promotion 268–70
 - incentives for innovation and training 273–4
 - investment promotion 264–7
 - trade policy 271–3
 - textile sector 232–50
 - competitiveness 247–50
 - cost structure 246–7
 - coverage ratio 242, 244
 - design and product differentiation 248
 - employment 234–9
 - employment index 237
 - export promotion policies 250
 - exports 238, 241–3, 244
 - imports 239–41
 - labour costs 247
 - labour force 247
 - labour productivity 243, 245–6
 - manufacturing share 234
 - physical volume index 236
 - production 234–9
 - productivity 247
 - raw materials 247
 - regional orientation index 242, 244
 - technology 247–8
 - total manufacturing share 235, 238
 - value added 234–9
 - trade liberalization 272–3
- Uruguayan Chamber of Industries 261–2
- Uruguayan Technological Laboratory 262
- Uruguayan Wool Secretariat 247, 262–3
- value-added products 15, 178
 - China 39, 41
 - Ghana 200, 203–4, 205
 - Uruguay 234–9, 252–4
 - Vietnam 1, 98
- voluntary retirement schemes (VRS) 104
- wage rates 31
 - and export performance 14, 20, 24
 - first tier countries 15–17
 - second tier countries 20, 31–2
 - third tier countries 24, 27, 29
- WDI 14, 33
- Weiqiao Pioneering Group Co., Ltd 92
- Woo, Francois 175, 177, 181
- wool 102
- woollen textiles 117–18
- World Trade Organization (WTO) 1
 - China's accession to 70–1
- Worldwide Response for Apparel Production (WRAP) 179
- Yaoundé Convention 197
- Youngor Group Co., Ltd 4, 73–8, 82–3
 - basic data 74
 - digitized project and information technology 76
 - history and orientation 74–6
 - technological innovation 77
 - world trade 77–8